

Table 1: Comparison of Ranking Question 1

Oakland		Statewide		
n=10		n=139		
I. Ranking Question 1:				
Please rank the top 5 factors critical to choosing EBPs in your region.				
a. Level of Ongoing Training Needed	1 st Choice	20.0%	1 st Choice	7.2%
	2 nd Choice	10.0%	2 nd Choice	12.9%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice	9.4%
	4 th Choice	20.0%	4 th Choice	17.3%
	5 th Choice	30.0%	5 th Choice	20.1%
	Unranked	10.0%	Unranked	33.1%
b. Ease of Certification Process	1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice	3.6%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	2.2%
	3 rd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	9.4%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	5.0%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	5.8%
	Unranked	100.0%	Unranked	74.1%
c. Cost of Intervention Delivery	1 st Choice	20.0%	1 st Choice	5.8%
	2 nd Choice	10.0%	2 nd Choice	22.3%
	3 rd Choice	20.0%	3 rd Choice	17.3%
	4 th Choice	10.0%	4 th Choice	10.8%
	5 th Choice	10.0%	5 th Choice	11.5%
	Unranked	30.0%	Unranked	32.4%
d. Ability to Maintain Fidelity to the Model	1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice	8.6%
	2 nd Choice	60.0%	2 nd Choice	15.1%
	3 rd Choice	30.0%	3 rd Choice	11.5%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	12.2%
	5 th Choice	10.0%	5 th Choice	15.8%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked	36.7%
e. Consumer Demand	1 st Choice	50.0%	1 st Choice	53.2%
	2 nd Choice	10.0%	2 nd Choice	7.9%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice	7.9%
	4 th Choice	10.0%	4 th Choice	5.8%

	<table><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>20.0%</td></tr></table>	5 th Choice	0.0%	Unranked	20.0%	<table><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>4.3%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>20.9%</td></tr></table>	5 th Choice	4.3%	Unranked	20.9%																
5 th Choice	0.0%																									
Unranked	20.0%																									
5 th Choice	4.3%																									
Unranked	20.9%																									
f. Retaining Qualified Staff to Deliver EBPs	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>10.0%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>10.0%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>10.0%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>30.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>40.0%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	10.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	10.0%	4 th Choice	10.0%	5 th Choice	30.0%	Unranked	40.0%	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>2.2%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>12.2%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>16.5%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>20.9%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>11.5%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>36.7%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	2.2%	2 nd Choice	12.2%	3 rd Choice	16.5%	4 th Choice	20.9%	5 th Choice	11.5%	Unranked	36.7%
1 st Choice	10.0%																									
2 nd Choice	0.0%																									
3 rd Choice	10.0%																									
4 th Choice	10.0%																									
5 th Choice	30.0%																									
Unranked	40.0%																									
1 st Choice	2.2%																									
2 nd Choice	12.2%																									
3 rd Choice	16.5%																									
4 th Choice	20.9%																									
5 th Choice	11.5%																									
Unranked	36.7%																									
g. Fit With Existing EBPs	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>20.0%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>30.0%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>50.0%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	20.0%	4 th Choice	30.0%	5 th Choice	0.0%	Unranked	50.0%	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>3.6%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>10.1%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>8.6%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>9.4%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>12.2%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>56.1%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	3.6%	2 nd Choice	10.1%	3 rd Choice	8.6%	4 th Choice	9.4%	5 th Choice	12.2%	Unranked	56.1%
1 st Choice	0.0%																									
2 nd Choice	0.0%																									
3 rd Choice	20.0%																									
4 th Choice	30.0%																									
5 th Choice	0.0%																									
Unranked	50.0%																									
1 st Choice	3.6%																									
2 nd Choice	10.1%																									
3 rd Choice	8.6%																									
4 th Choice	9.4%																									
5 th Choice	12.2%																									
Unranked	56.1%																									
h. Availability of Ongoing Funding from the State to Sustain EBPs	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>10.0%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>10.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>80.0%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	10.0%	Unranked	80.0%	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>15.1%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>17.3%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>14.4%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>10.8%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>14.4%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>28.1%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	15.1%	2 nd Choice	17.3%	3 rd Choice	14.4%	4 th Choice	10.8%	5 th Choice	14.4%	Unranked	28.1%
1 st Choice	0.0%																									
2 nd Choice	10.0%																									
3 rd Choice	0.0%																									
4 th Choice	0.0%																									
5 th Choice	10.0%																									
Unranked	80.0%																									
1 st Choice	15.1%																									
2 nd Choice	17.3%																									
3 rd Choice	14.4%																									
4 th Choice	10.8%																									
5 th Choice	14.4%																									
Unranked	28.1%																									
i. Presence of a Learning Collaborative	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>20.0%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>10.0%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>70.0%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	20.0%	5 th Choice	10.0%	Unranked	70.0%	<table><tr><td>1st Choice</td><td>0.7%</td></tr><tr><td>2nd Choice</td><td>0.0%</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Choice</td><td>5.0%</td></tr><tr><td>4th Choice</td><td>7.9%</td></tr><tr><td>5th Choice</td><td>4.3%</td></tr><tr><td>Unranked</td><td>82.0%</td></tr></table>	1 st Choice	0.7%	2 nd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	5.0%	4 th Choice	7.9%	5 th Choice	4.3%	Unranked	82.0%
1 st Choice	0.0%																									
2 nd Choice	0.0%																									
3 rd Choice	0.0%																									
4 th Choice	20.0%																									
5 th Choice	10.0%																									
Unranked	70.0%																									
1 st Choice	0.7%																									
2 nd Choice	0.0%																									
3 rd Choice	5.0%																									
4 th Choice	7.9%																									
5 th Choice	4.3%																									
Unranked	82.0%																									

Table 2: Comparison of Ranking Question 2

		Oakland	Statewide	
		n=10	n=139	
II. Ranking Question 2:				
Please rank the top 5 potential Statewide solutions:				
a. Fund Regions to Select Alternative EBPs Based on Local Needs Rather than only Mandated EBPs	1 st Choice	30.0%	1 st Choice	46.0%
	2 nd Choice	20.0%	2 nd Choice	14.4%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice	14.4%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	3.6%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	7.2%
	Unranked	40.0%	Unranked	14.4%
b. Provide Authoritative EBP Consultation that is Readily Available to Clinicians on Demand	1 st Choice	10.0%	1 st Choice	10.8%
	2 nd Choice	30.0%	2 nd Choice	12.9%
	3 rd Choice	20.0%	3 rd Choice	19.4%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	15.8%
	5 th Choice	20.0%	5 th Choice	11.5%
	Unranked	20.0%	Unranked	29.5%
c. Maintain Dedicated State Champion for Each EBP	1 st Choice	10.0%	1 st Choice	5.8%
	2 nd Choice	10.0%	2 nd Choice	7.2%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice	4.3%
	4 th Choice	20.0%	4 th Choice	13.7%
	5 th Choice	30.0%	5 th Choice	14.4%
	Unranked	20.0%	Unranked	54.7%
d. Increase Phase-in Time to Implement EBPs	1 st Choice	10.0%	1 st Choice	2.2%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	11.5%
	3 rd Choice	20.0%	3 rd Choice	10.8%
	4 th Choice	10.0%	4 th Choice	10.1%
	5 th Choice	10.0%	5 th Choice	7.2%
	Unranked	50.0%	Unranked	58.3%
e. Increase Consumer Representation and Participation in the Selection of EBPs	1 st Choice	20.0%	1 st Choice	12.9%
	2 nd Choice	10.0%	2 nd Choice	9.4%
	3 rd Choice	20.0%	3 rd Choice	5.8%
	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	5.0%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	8.6%

	Unranked	50.0%		Unranked	58.3%
f. Seek Regular Consumer Feedback on EBPs after they are Implemented	1 st Choice	0.0%		1 st Choice	0.7%
	2 nd Choice	10.0%		2 nd Choice	11.5%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%		3 rd Choice	15.8%
	4 th Choice	40.0%		4 th Choice	16.5%
	5 th Choice	0.0%		5 th Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	40.0%		Unranked	43.2%
g. Use a Variety of Communication Mechanisms to Inform Regions about EBPs	1 st Choice	0.0%		1 st Choice	2.9%
	2 nd Choice	20.0%		2 nd Choice	10.1%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%		3 rd Choice	11.5%
	4 th Choice	20.0%		4 th Choice	12.9%
	5 th Choice	0.0%		5 th Choice	15.1%
	Unranked	50.0%		Unranked	47.5%
h. Set up the State to Act as a Central Clearinghouse for EBP Information, Certification and Training	1 st Choice	20.0%		1 st Choice	5.8%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%		2 nd Choice	7.9%
	3 rd Choice	0.0%		3 rd Choice	10.8%
	4 th Choice	10.0%		4 th Choice	10.1%
	5 th Choice	20.0%		5 th Choice	13.7%
	Unranked	50.0%		Unranked	51.8%
i. Certify the CMHSP/Region and not just the Individual Staff for Particular EBPs	1 st Choice	0.0%		1 st Choice	12.2%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%		2 nd Choice	14.4%
	3 rd Choice	0.0%		3 rd Choice	6.5%
	4 th Choice	0.0%		4 th Choice	11.5%
	5 th Choice	20.0%		5 th Choice	9.4%
	Unranked	80.0%		Unranked	46.0%

Table 3: Comparison of Ranking Question 3

Oakland			Statewide	
n=10			n=139	
III. Ranking Question 3: Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining to fidelity:				
a. Promote Adaptations of EBPs to Accommodate Regional Differences	1 st Choice	22.2%	1 st Choice	46.3%
	2 nd Choice	44.4%	2 nd Choice	31.3%
	3 rd Choice	33.3%	3 rd Choice	22.4%
b. Establish a More Flexible Certification Standard for Who Can Deliver EBPs	1 st Choice	55.6%	1 st Choice	35.1%
	2 nd Choice	33.3%	2 nd Choice	37.3%
	3 rd Choice	11.1%	3 rd Choice	27.6%
c. Develop Audit Options to Certify the CMHSP/Region and Not Just Individual Staff	1 st Choice	22.2%	1 st Choice	18.7%
	2 nd Choice	22.2%	2 nd Choice	31.3%
	3 rd Choice	55.6%	3 rd Choice	50.0%

Table 4: Comparison of Ranking Question 4

Oakland			Statewide	
n=10			n=139	
IV. Ranking Question 4:				
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining to staffing issues:				
a. Limit the Number of EBPs a CMHSP/Region is Implementing at Any Given Time	1 st Choice	30.0%	1 st Choice	23.9%
	2 nd Choice	30.0%	2 nd Choice	15.9%
	3 rd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	13.8%
	Unranked	40.0%	Unranked	46.4%
b. Ensure that EBPs Don't Add to Staff Workload	1 st Choice	20.0%	1 st Choice	26.1%
	2 nd Choice	30.0%	2 nd Choice	16.7%
	3 rd Choice	30.0%	3 rd Choice	13.0%
	Unranked	20.0%	Unranked	44.2%
c. Recognize Staff Achievements in EBPs	1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice	10.1%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	19.6%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice	21.0%
	Unranked	90.0%	Unranked	49.3%
d. Develop Mechanisms to Certify Supervisors in Multiple EBPs	1 st Choice	30.0%	1 st Choice	21.7%
	2 nd Choice	20.0%	2 nd Choice	17.4%
	3 rd Choice	40.0%	3 rd Choice	27.5%
	Unranked	10.0%	Unranked	33.3%
e. Offer Incentives to Motivate Staff Participation in EBP Supervision	1 st Choice	20.0%	1 st Choice	16.7%
	2 nd Choice	20.0%	2 nd Choice	29.0%
	3 rd Choice	20.0%	3 rd Choice	23.2%
	Unranked	40.0%	Unranked	31.2%

Table 5: Comparison of Ranking Question 5

		Oakland	Statewide
		n=10	n=139
V. Ranking Question 5:			
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining to gathering data and measuring outcomes:			
a. Establish a Common Outcome Measure for EBPs	1 st Choice	60.0%	1 st Choice 47.8%
	2 nd Choice	20.0%	2 nd Choice 29.0%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice 15.2%
	Unranked	10.0%	Unranked 8.0%
b. Adopt a Statewide Electronic Medical Record System	1 st Choice	0.0%	1 st Choice 7.2%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice 8.0%
	3 rd Choice	10.0%	3 rd Choice 24.6%
	Unranked	90.0%	Unranked 60.1%
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures into the State Audits	1 st Choice	10.0%	1 st Choice 10.9%
	2 nd Choice	30.0%	2 nd Choice 26.8%
	3 rd Choice	60.0%	3 rd Choice 37.0%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked 25.4%
d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to Determine Effectiveness of Multiple EBPs	1 st Choice	30.0%	1 st Choice 31.9%
	2 nd Choice	50.0%	2 nd Choice 34.1%
	3 rd Choice	20.0%	3 rd Choice 21.0%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked 13.0%